

Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future

c/o Timothy A. Frazier

Designated Federal Officer

November 11, 2010

U.S. Department of Energy

1000 Independence Avenue, SW.,

Washington, DC 20585, Tel: 202-586-4243

Mr. Fraizer,

Led by oil, for six decades the macro-economic shift of the U.S. economy has created an overwhelming U.S. deficit. I assert that the blame should be squarely on the U.S. Department of Energy. America is importing oil from more than 90 nations. It is gluttonous; it's not fair to the rest of the world. Others have a right to be angry with us. The U.S. has it's own oil, an immense amount of natural gas, and a huge potential of nuclear produced-electricity and manufactured hydrogen.

Worldwide, at the core of the situation is the problem of what to do with spent nuclear fuel (SNF). In my appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, I have asserted that Yucca Mountain (YM) is not a workable solution for SNF. In geological storage, the up-front decaying of the cesium and strontium in the fission waste makes access unreasonably difficult to the 96% of the SNF that is recoverable for potential future fuel. SNF must be stored near the earth's surface for heat dissipation and accessibility. With the YM now dormant, I assert that the only way SNF can be disposed of is by what we are calling the 300-year SNF disposal solution.

In Court Of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit Case No. 10-1007, to enable U.S. economic recovery, I have asserted a 3-year plan to get economically independent, which the U.S. must do to turn the U.S. deficit around and have economic survival. This recovery must happen as quickly as possible. I have made an appropriate appeal to ten trade related U.S. Government departments, Secretary Chu, and President Obama, that they should be getting U.S. international trade in balance. They have ignored me. The Court ordered for oral argument on Nov 18th 2010. I motioned for judgment on admissions. Again the government ignored me. Now the Court has ordered cancellation of the scheduled oral argument and ordered that the Court will make judgment based upon the admissions. The matter is now postured such that the court can order that EPA, DOE, and NRC have ignored the Court's order of July 9th, 2004, wherein case No. 01-1258 the Court ordered that EPA in consideration of advice from the National Academies of the Sciences (NAS) is responsible for how SNF is to be disposed of.

Since the WWII recovery America has been in an economic downfall because of its increasing imbalance of trade. I believe that the Court must now order dissatisfaction of the way EPA, DOE, and NRC have dealt with America's demand for energy and should find that my three-year energy independence plan or a facsimile of it, with my associated 300-year SNF disposal solution should be implemented. I don't see any other way of fixing energy and the economy. So this is where I now stand. President Obama and Secretary Chu were defendants in matter. Where do they stand? Would the Blue Ribbon Committee consider this?

William D. Peterson, M.S., P.E.

Nuclear Engineer & Operations Research Analyst

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-1007

September Term 2010

NRC-63-001

Filed On: November 8, 2010 [1276313]

William D. Peterson,
Petitioner

v.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Respondent

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Griffith, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

ORDER

The court concludes, on its own motion, that oral argument will not assist the court in this case. Accordingly, the court will dispose of the petition for review without oral argument on the basis of the appendix submitted by the parties and the presentations in the briefs. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Michael C. McGrail
Deputy Clerk

William (Bill) D. Peterson II, with
300-Year SNF Disposal &
3-Year Fuel & Deficit Recovery Plan,
Deficit Recovery Institute (DRI)
413 Vine Street,
Clearfield, Utah 84015,
Tel 801-825-3123, Email paengineers@juno.com

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
333 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 5523
Washington, DC 20001-2866
Phone: 202-216-7290 Facsimile: 202-219-8530

William (Bill) D. Peterson, Engineer	!	
for 300-Year SNF Disposal Solution &	!	MOTION
3-year Fuel and Economy Recovery Plan,	!	for Decisions from Admissions
Petitioner	!	
vs.	!	Case No. <u>10-1007</u>
	!	
United States of America	!	Rogers, Garland & Brown
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, et al.*	!	Circuit Judges
Federal Respondents	!	

* Fuel Independence and Spent Nuclear Fuel plan – Respondent parties are: NRC - **Dr. Gregory B. Jaczko**, Commission Chairman, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; DOE - **Dr Steven Chu**, Secretary of Energy, Director DOE, Department of Energy; EPA - **Lisa P. Jackson**, Director, Environmental Protection Agency; NAS - **Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone**, President, National Academies of Science, and NEI - **Marvin S. Fertel**, Chief Executive Officer, Nuclear Energy Institute.

* Deficit and Economic recovery plan, including Fuel Independence – Respondent parties are: DOC - **Gary Locke**, Secretary, Department of Commerce; DOL - **Hilda Solis**, Secretary, Department of Labor; DOT - **Timothy F. Geithner**, Secretary, Department of the Treasury; FTC – **Jon Leibowitz**, Chairman, Federal Trade Commission; and the TPCC - **Joe Hurd**, Senior Director Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee.

* Other Federal Administrative parties of interest are: Former Nuclear Waste Negotiator, Idaho Congressman Richard Stallings, and President Barack Obama.

MOTION for Decisions from Admissions

⁰¹) William D. (Peterson), given the opportunity, given by legislation of the Congress, ⁰²) implemented by Presidents Bush and Clinton, ⁰³) down through Nuclear Waste Negotiators David Leroy and Richard Stallings, ⁰⁴) Peterson works to do storage ⁰⁵) and in time eventual total disposal of spent nuclear fuel (SNF), ⁰⁶) by a method he calls his 300-year solution. ⁰⁷) The requirement is needed to enable development of nuclearelectricity-hydrogen, ⁰⁸) to enable the U.S. to become energy independent, ⁰⁹) to enable the U.S. to reduce and eventually recover from it's international deficit borrowing.

¹⁰) Peterson proposes 50 new nuclear power plants for producing electricity and making hydrogen to power 10% of America's cars and trucks. ¹¹) The implementing of the 300-year permanent SNF disposal solution, ¹²) will enable disposal of the SNF from the 104 existing operating nuclear power plants, ¹³) these proposed 50 new plants, ¹⁴) and 450 more, ¹⁵) which would be needed in transitioning entirely from oil to hydrogen fuel.

¹⁶) It is a critical need that the U.S. becomes energy independent. ¹⁷) It is America's single biggest deficit item. ¹⁸) The U.S. must produce as much as it consumes ¹⁹) and balance its international trade, ²⁰) to curtail and somehow pay for the expansive national deficit to foreign nations, ²¹) which has happened as a consequence of America's imbalance of trade, ²²) and outsourcing. ²³) Peterson asserts that if the international trade of the U.S. is not put into balance with foreign nations, ²⁴) the U.S. will become bankrupt and ²⁵) this will put other nations in the World likewise into bankruptcy.

26) Peterson has repeatedly warned that if the imbalance of trade is not fixed, 27) the U.S. economy would get to a point that putting more money in would not fix it, 28) but only make the situation worse. 29) The U.S. economy is there. 30) Today economist Larry Edelson writes:

31) *"Bernanke also knows — all too well — that he's not truly pumping money INTO the U.S. economy. 32) In reality, the U.S. economy is leaking like a sieve. 33) So for all practical purposes, 34) he's pumping the money OUT OF the U.S. economy — 35) to countries overseas."*

36) Again, Peterson points out that for the Congress to "regulate commerce with foreign nations" is not politically popular. 37) So they won't do it. 38) So this situation has got to be fixed by the Court. 39) For sixty five years the commerce of the U.S. has been economically impossible. 40) It is unconstitutional. 41) The Court apparently has got to order the Congress to "regulate commerce with foreign nations", per 42) Sec. 8. [Powers of congress.] 43) [3.] Even though it would be politically unpopular, 44) the Congress can no longer refuse to do what it has to do. 45) Running the U.S. is not just politics. 46) They get the office, 47) they must do the job, 48) not just make overtures and excuses as Hatch has done.

49) "I strongly support maximizing Americans' freedom of choice in product selection", ... "Finally, 50) I do not support restraints on capital flows in and out of the United States. 51) Our balance of payments, current accounts, 52) and even our continued industrial development depend on freedom of capital flows across boundaries."

53) Senator Orrin Hatch, 1995

54) And what is the matter with all the U.S. Government Agencies involved in

America's commerce? ⁵⁵⁾ Anyone responsible in business knows that ⁵⁶⁾ more money cannot be shelled out in purchases than comes back in sales.

⁵⁷⁾ Peterson sought intervention in a DOE v NRC matter of attempting to obtain ongoing licensing of the construction of Yucca Mountain. ⁵⁸⁾ Peterson maintains that the YM approach to SNF disposal will not solve the SNF problem. ⁵⁹⁾ As far as Peterson knows, ⁶⁰⁾ his 300-year solution is the only way SNF can be disposed of ⁶¹⁾ in compliance of the mandate of the Congress of the allowable radiation limit of 100 nCi TRUs/g.

⁶²⁾ In his pleadings, ⁶³⁾ as part of his work, ⁶⁴⁾ as a part of his American Constitutional rights, ⁶⁵⁾ Peterson asserts for freedom from his share of government inflicted debt to other nations ⁶⁶⁾ due to unregulated commerce with foreign nations. ⁶⁷⁾ Unregulated commerce with foreign nations is unconstitutional, ref ⁶⁸⁾ Sec. 8. [Powers of congress.] ⁶⁹⁾ [3.] To regulate commerce with foreign nations, ⁷⁰⁾ and . . . ⁷¹⁾ [5.] To coin Money regulate the Value thereof, ⁷²⁾ and foreign Coin. ⁷³⁾ Peterson proposes a different U.S. coin system to maintain a ⁷⁴⁾ separation of U.S. commerce and foreign commerce.

⁷⁵⁾ In a series of pleadings, ⁷⁶⁾ Peterson has made these assertions and claims, ⁷⁷⁾ and the respondents have not refuted them. ⁷⁸⁾ About October 27, 2010, ⁷⁹⁾ Peterson phoned Cynthia Davidson and ⁸⁰⁾ inquired about having dialogue about what might get accomplished with the November 18th, 2010, court hearing and ⁸¹⁾ setting some goals. ⁸²⁾

There has been no reply. ⁸³⁾ According to what the Court Handbook allows, ⁸⁴⁾ Peterson thus makes timely motion for the following.

1. ⁸⁵⁾ Find that EPA with consultation with NAS has not determined a storage or disposal solution for SNF ⁸⁶⁾ as this Court ordered on July 9th 2004, ⁸⁷⁾ in Case No. 01-1258. ⁸⁸⁾ Find that DOE and NRC claimed that responsibility and have not relinquished that responsibility to EPA, ⁸⁹⁾ and EPA has not yet accepted that responsibility.
2. ⁹⁰⁾ Find that Peterson's 300-year SNF disposal solution is the only presently known method of SNF disposal that ⁹¹⁾ accomplishes the Congress' legislated specification that nuclear waste forms must contain less than 100 nCi TRUs/g, ⁹²⁾ for disposal.
3. ⁹³⁾ Find that the U.S. Deficit owing to other nations has happened ⁹⁴⁾ because of the Nation's imbalance of trade. ⁹⁵⁾ Find that the Congress has failed to regulate Commerce and ⁹⁶⁾ Coin per Sec. 8. [Powers of congress.] ⁹⁷⁾ [3.] To regulate commerce with foreign nations, ⁹⁸⁾ and . . . ⁹⁹⁾ [5.] To coin Money regulate the Value thereof, ¹⁰⁰⁾ and foreign Coin. ¹⁰¹⁾ And likewise, ¹⁰²⁾ find that the U.S. Governmental departments responsible over U.S. commerce ¹⁰³⁾ have failed to regulate commerce.
4. ¹⁰⁴⁾ Find that the U.S.'s commerce with foreign nations ¹⁰⁵⁾ and commerce money with foreign nations must be regulated.

5. ¹⁰⁶⁾ Find that the U.S.'s commerce with foreign nations ¹⁰⁷⁾ as now happening, ¹⁰⁸⁾ where foreign goods and services flow in, ¹⁰⁹⁾ where U.S. dollars predominantly flow out is impossible ongoing commerce economics.

6. ¹¹⁰⁾ Likewise, ¹¹¹⁾ find that the U.S. Congress and the Departments of government it oversees has failed to Coin, i.e. , ¹¹²⁾ failed to have proper U.S. Banking. ¹¹³⁾ Find that the U.S. Government has lost control of U.S. Commerce and Coin.

¹¹⁴⁾ For supporting memorandum for this Motion, ¹¹⁵⁾ Petitioner Peterson makes reference all of his prior pleadings that he has made in this Court Case No. 10-1007. ¹¹⁶⁾ The respondent NRC et al. have responded to none of Peterson's pleadings ¹¹⁷⁾ and have not responded to ¹¹⁸⁾ any and ¹¹⁹⁾ every averment. ¹²⁰⁾ The respondent NRC et al. has thus admitted to all of this petitioners pleadings and averments.

¹²¹⁾ Dated this 5th day of November, 2010.

¹²²⁾ William D. Peterson II, Petitioner, pro se

William (Bill) D. Peterson, with
300-Year SNF Disposal &
3-Year Fuel & Deficit Recovery Plan,
Deficit Recovery Institute (DRI)
413 Vine Street,
Clearfield, Utah 84015,
Tel 801-825-3123, Email paengineers@juno.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
333 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 5523
Washington, DC 20001-2866
Phone: 202-216-7290 Facsimile: 202-219-8530

William (Bill) D. Peterson, Engineer	!	
for 300-Year SNF Disposal Solution &	!	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3-year Fuel and Economy Recovery Plan,	!	or MOTION
Plaintiff	!	
vs.	!	Case No. __10-1007__
	!	
United States of America	!	Rogers, Garland & Brown
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, et al.*	!	Circuit Judges
Defendants !		

* Spent Nuclear Fuel plan Defendant – Appellee parties are: NRC - Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board DOE - Department of Energy, EPA - Environmental Protection Agency, NAS - National Academies of Science, and NEI - Nuclear Energy Institute.

* Fuel and Economic recovery plan Defendant – Appellee parties are: DOC - Department of Commerce, DOL - Department of Labor, DOT - Department of the Treasury, FTC -Federal Trade Commission, and the TPCC - Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee

* Other Federal Administrative parties of interest are: Former Nuclear Waste Negotiator, Idaho Congressman Richard Stallings, and President Barack Obama

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that Friday, November 5th, 2010 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was E-mailed, to those persons listed below: Also filed electronically electronically with the Court. An original and 4 paper copies are sent to the Court. A follow up phone call is made to each office below.

Sean Croston, Esq. NRC

Office of the General Counsel
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 23795
L'Enfant Plaza Station
Washington, D.C. 20026
sean.croston@nrc.gov 301-415-1354

Scott Blake Harris, Esq., DOE

Office of General Counsel
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, DC 20585
The.Secretary@hq.doe.gov 1-202-586-5000 FAX 202-586-4403

Scott Fulton, Esq. EPA

Office of General Counsel
Environmental Protection Agency
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2310A)
Washington, DC 20460
scott.fulton@epa.gov Main phone No: (202) 564-8040 fax: (202) 564-1778

James F. Hinchman, Esq. NAS

Office of the General Counsel
National Academies of Sciences
500 5th St N.W.
Washington, D.C. 2001
webmailbox@nas.edu 202.334.2440

Mike Bauser, Esq., NEI

General Counsel
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708
mab@nei.org 202-739-8144

Ellen C. Ginsberg NEI

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708
ecg@nei.org P: 202.739.8000 F: 202.785.4019

Cameron F. Kerry, Esq. DOC

General Counsel
Department of Commerce
Herbert C. Hoover Building
14th & Constitution Avenue, NW
Mail Stop 5875 HCHB
Washington, DC. 20230
GeneralCounsel@doc.gov Voice 202/482-4772 FAX 202/482-0042

Craig Hukill, Esq. DOL

Office of the General Counsel
 U.S. Department of Labor
 200 Constitution Ave., NW
 Washington, DC 20210
hukill.craig@dol.gov 202-692-5260 NIS 1-866-487-2365

Robert S. Rivkin, Esq., U.S. DOT

Office of the General Counsel
 U.S. Department of the Treasury
 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE,
 Washington, DC 20590
 . robert.rivkin@do.treas.gov Phone (202) 366-4702, fax (202) 366-3388

Willard K. Tom, Esq. FTC

General Counsel
 Federal Trade Commission
 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
 Washington, DC 20580
wtom@ftc.gov 202-326-2424 (202) 326-2222

John Cobau, Esq., TPCC

General Counsel
 Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee
 U.S. Department of Commerce
 1401 Constitution Ave., NW
 Washington, DC 20230
john.cobau@trade.gov OCCIC@doc.gov 202-482 2319

Richard Stallings Negotiator

Congressman from Idaho
 & Nuclear Waste Negotiator
 for President Clinton
 Island Park, Idaho
rstallings@allidaho.com 208 241 6049

Bob Bauer, Esq., President

White House Counsel
 for President Barack Obama
 607 Fourteenth Street N.W.,
 Washington, D.C. 20005-2003
whitehousecounsel@who.eop.gov Tel: 202-434-1602

Donald Verrilli, Jr., Esq., President

Associate White House Counsel
 for President Barack Obama
 607 Fourteenth Street N.W.,
 Washington, D.C. 20005-2003

[View This Issue On Our Website \[»\]](#)

Special Edition | Wednesday, November 3, 2010

News flash: \$600 billion Fed funny money! Big LIE!

by [Larry Edelson](#)

Dear Olie,



This is it — the hot news that Wall Street was waiting for with bated breath.

Fed Chief Bernanke's going to buy another \$600 billion in Treasury securities to pump liquidity into the economy.

But it's all one big, fat lie.

Here's why:

First, because the whole concept of "buying Treasuries" is a smokescreen. What Bernanke is really doing is running the money printing presses, and it's no secret. Even the emperor himself knows he has no clothes.

Second, because Bernanke also knows — all too well — that he's not truly pumping money INTO the U.S. economy. In reality, the U.S. economy is leaking like a sieve. So for all practical purposes, he's pumping the money OUT OF the U.S. economy — to countries overseas.

Third and most important, the "big number" — \$600 billion — is meaningless. The Fed says quite bluntly that they will ...

"regularly review and adjust the program as needed to best foster maximum employment and price stability."

In other words, they'll blow right past the \$600 billion mark whenever and however they darn please.

State of Shock and Awe

Meanwhile, yesterday's elections have left one political party in a state of shock and the other basking in the warm glow of success. And our readers are not the least bit surprised!

11

Thanks to our special polls — both among our own readers and nationally — we were able to tell you, well ahead of time, that fiscal conservatives would sweep into Washington, and that the entire government would end the day deeply divided.

In the House of Representatives, Republicans rule. In the Senate, the Democratic party held on by the skin of its teeth, but without a true, operational majority.

That means Congress is now officially OUT of the stimulus and bailout business. It also means that many in Congress will be fighting to actually *reduce* government spending at every opportunity.

Most importantly, it means all the gas that was fueling the meager recovery of the past two years is no more. President Obama couldn't push a new spending bill through the new House or Senate even if *his life* depended on it!

And that leaves the White House with a serious problem ...

Obama & Team know that the 2012 presidential campaign effectively starts

TODAY ... that voters will hold the president personally responsible for turning the economy around ... and that ANY FAILURE TO SLASH UNEMPLOYMENT WILL DOOM THEIR CHANCES in the next election!

But new spending bills are no longer a possibility. So that leaves the president with one and *ONLY* one weapon of last resort: The Federal Reserve.

That's why today's Fed announcement — that it will print only \$600 billion to buy Treasuries and other securities — is just a down payment. Merely a small tip compared to the truly big money-printing binge yet to come.

Look: In the world we just left behind, Congress and the Treasury Department led the charge on stimulus:

- Congress passed spending bills.
- The Treasury borrowed the money to pay for them.
- The Fed's role was merely to buy Treasuries with newly-printed money in

order to keep interest rates low.

Now, in the new world that has just dawned, the game has changed. Radically! Congress and the Treasury are on the sidelines. The responsibility for stimulating the economy now falls on the Fed *ALONE*.

That's why you need to take today's Fed announcement with a grain of salt. Yes, the Fed is firing up the printing presses again. Yes, it will use that money to buy
12

**Last time around, the Fed printed
\$1.7 trillion in new paper money,
and it *still* wasn't enough.**

My point: Anyone who really believes that the Fed will only print a mere \$600 billion this time is missing the point. It will take *many times* that amount to buy Obama a second term! The bottom line ...

**Every dollar you earn and own
is about to be gutted of its value.**

Even just the dollars the Fed has printed so far are ALREADY driving the price of essential everyday items through the roof.

Just since last July, margarine prices have risen 6 percent. Women's dresses are up 6 percent. Beer is up 6 percent. Milk prices have risen 6.5 percent. Candy is 13 percent more expensive. Butter is up 19 percent. Shoes are up a whopping 45 percent. *All in just over four months!*

Now, with the Fed set to flood the world with unbacked paper dollars, it's time to get ready for *even greater* destruction of your buying power ... an all-out assault on your standard of living ... a brutal frontal attack on your financial security.

Plus, this sea change in the management of the U.S. economy will have an *enormous* impact on every investment market. It will impact stocks ... bonds ... foreign currencies ... precious metals ... oil and other commodities, especially food.

And by doing so, it will create some of the greatest profit opportunities any of us has ever seen.

**Our next new presentation to help
you profit is just days away!**

As you read this, we're working nonstop on a brand-new presentation entitled "The \$3 Trillion Lie."

In it, we show you precisely HOW this destruction of the dollar will affect the

investment markets. And we also show you how much you could earn by making the right moves now.

We'll send you a special email inviting you to view this crucial strategy update within the next few days. So be sure to watch your inbox!

Best wishes, Larry

13

About *Uncommon Wisdom*

For more information and archived issues, visit <http://www.uncommonwisdomdaily.com>

Uncommon Wisdom (UWD) is published by Weiss Research, Inc. and written by Sean Brodrick, Larry Edelson, and Tony Sagami. To avoid conflicts of interest, Weiss Research and its staff do not hold positions in companies recommended in *UWD*, nor do we accept any compensation for such recommendations. The comments, graphs, forecasts, and indices published in *UWD* are based upon data whose accuracy is deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Performance returns cited are derived from our best estimates but must be considered hypothetical in as much as we do not track the actual prices investors pay or receive. Regular contributors and staff include Andrea Baumwald, John Burke, Marci Campbell, Amber Dakar, Maryellen Murphy, Jennifer Newman-Amos, Adam Shafer, Marty Sleva, Julie Trudeau, Jill Umiker, Leslie Underwood and Michelle Zausnig.

Attention editors and publishers! *Uncommon Wisdom* issues can be republished. Republished issues MUST include attribution of the author(s) and the following short paragraph:

This investment news is brought to you by *Uncommon Wisdom*. *Uncommon Wisdom* is a free daily investment newsletter from Weiss Research analysts offering the latest investing news and financial insights for the stock market, precious metals, natural resources, Asian and South American markets. From time to time, the authors of *Uncommon Wisdom* also cover other topics they feel can contribute to making you healthy, wealthy and wise. To view archives or subscribe, visit <http://www.uncommonwisdomdaily.com>.

From time to time, *Uncommon Wisdom* may have information from select third-party advertisers known as

"external sponsorships." We cannot guarantee the accuracy of these ads. In addition, these ads do not necessarily express the viewpoints of *Uncommon Wisdom* or its editors. For more information, see our [terms and conditions](#).

View our [privacy policy](#).

Would you like to [unsubscribe from our mailing list](#)?

To make sure you don't miss our urgent updates, add *Uncommon Wisdom* to your address book. [Just follow these simple steps](#).

© 2010 by Weiss Research, Inc. All rights reserved. 15430 Endeavour Drive, Jupiter, FL 33478

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.**

United States Court of Appeals for District of Columbia Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 10/08/2010 at 11:55:57 AM EDT and filed on 10/08/2010

Case Name: William Peterson v. NRC

Case Number: [10-1007](#)

Document(s): [Document\(s\)](#)

Docket Text:

CLERK'S ORDER filed [1270625] scheduling oral argument before Judges GINSBURG, GRIFFITH, KAVANAUGH 11/18/2010 AM [10-1007]

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Order Sent

Original Filename:

/opt/ACECF/live/forms/carter_101007_1270625_F_CalSchedulingCaseforOralArg_282.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1109186823 [Date=10/08/2010] [FileNumber=1270625-0]

[2aece63de9b27d036dc52eda642338442bc7634b5cac3a401b6a09828cfc91a8997b52a2a17c8126625c272c3b89f3021730188c2ce9ef284f208d6cd1a8570a]]

Recipients:

- [Mr. Burns, Stephen Gilbert](#)
- [Mr. Cordes, John F., Jr., Solicitor](#)
- [Mr. Croston, Sean, Attorney](#)
- [Jones, Lisa Elizabeth](#)
- [Ms. Liaw, Stephanie Nicole](#)
- [Mr. Peterson, William D.](#)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Mr. Cordes, John F., Jr., Solicitor: John.Cordes@nrc.gov

Mr. Croston, Sean, Attorney: sean.croston@nrc.gov

Jones, Lisa Elizabeth: lisa.jones@usdoj.gov, efile_app.enrd@usdoj.gov

Ms. Liaw, Stephanie Nicole: stephanie.liaw@nrc.gov

Mr. Peterson, William D.: paengineers@juno.com

Document to be served by alternative means on:

Mr. Burns, Stephen Gilbert
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(NRC)
11555 Rockville Pike
One White Flint North
Rockville, MD 20852

The following information is for the use of court personnel:

DOCKET ENTRY ID: 1270625

RELIEF(S) DOCKETED:

oral argument schedule

DOCKET PART(S) ADDED: 2956823, 2956824, 2956825

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-1007 September Term 2010

NRC-63-001

Filed On: October 8, 2010 [1270625]

William D. Peterson,
Petitioner
v.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Respondent

ORDER

It is **ORDERED**, on the court's own motion, that this case be scheduled for oral argument on November 18, 2010, at 9:30 A.M., before Circuit Judges Ginsburg, Griffith and Kavanaugh.

The time and date of oral argument will not change absent further order of the Court.

A separate order will be issued regarding the allocation of time for argument.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Cheri W. Carter

Deputy Clerk

The following forms and notices are available on the Court's [website](#):
[Memorandum to Counsel Concerning Cases Set for Oral Argument \(Form 71\)](#)